## RECEIVED

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APR 2 7 1999

In Re Application

Michael J. Sullivan

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 

For

IMPROVED MULTI-LAYER GOLF BALL

Serial No.

08/714,661

Filed

September 16, 1996

Examiner

M. Graham

Art Unit

3711

Last Office Action

February 1, 1999

Attorney Docket No.

SLD 2 035-1-2

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518

## **DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.131**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

As a person signing below:

I, Michael J. Sullivan, do hereby declare and say that I am the inventor of the subject matter of the claims of the above-identified United States patent application. The claims in said application have been rejected by the U.S. Patent Office under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent No. 5,314,187 to Proudfit, alone or in combination with Nakamura (U.S. Patent No. 5,068,151).

I have read and am familiar with the position of the U.S. Patent Office set forth in the Office Actions of July 10, 1998 and February 1, 1999 rejecting the claims of the present application. I have further read and am familiar with the Proudfit patent (U.S. Patent No. 5,314,187) over which said claims have been rejected. Proudfit was filed on June 29, 1992 and issued on May 24, 1994.

I declare that at a date prior to June 29, 1992, the effective filing date for the subject matter of Proudfit relied upon by the Examiner in the outstanding Office Action, the invention disclosed in the present application was completed in this country. In this regard, I have

attached hereto copies of data reproduced from my laboratory notes (dates omitted), and other technical data material which establishes the completion of the invention prior to June 29, 1992. As can be seen from the attached data, Table 1 corresponds to Table 7 of the present application and Table 2 corresponds to data present in Table 8 of the present application. I hereby declare that, although the dates have been deleted, the attached evidentiary materials were prepared prior to June 29, 1992.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Michael N. Sullivan (Date)

Fisher of

NW J S HERB CO SO	Table l			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
SA4-	A 61-1	ß		61-4	61-5	
Iotek EX-959 Iotek EX-960 Zinc Stearate Surlyn 8162 Surlyn 8422 Surlyn 1605 Iotek 7030 Iotek 8000	50 50    	50 50 50   	  75 25 	100	    50 50	
Compression COR Shore C Hardness Spin Rate (RPM)* Cut Resistance	58 .811 98 7,367 4-5	58 .810 98 6,250 4-5	60 .807 97 7,903 4-5	63 .793 96 8,337 4-5	62 .801 96 7,956 4-5	

\* Note: Test performed with a Tour Edition #9 iron and a club head speed of 105 fps.



## Table 2

544-84	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5
"Core" Type	<u>61-1</u>	61-2	61-3	61-4	61-5
Cover * Compression COR Shore C Hardness Spin (RPM) Cut Resistance	TE-90 63 .784 88 8,825 3-4	TE-90 63 .778 88 8,854 3-4	TE-90 69 .780 88 8,814 3-4	TE-90 70 .770 88 8,990 3-4	TE-90 62 .779 88 8,844 3-4

544-84	-6.	-7	-8
"Core" Type	61-1	61-5	61-4
Cover Compression COR? Shore C Hardness Spin (RPM) Cut Resistance	PU 67 .774 74 10,061 3-4	PU 69 .772 73 10,637 3-4	9020 61 .757 89 8,846 1-2

- \* A) PU is B.F.Goodrich Polyester Polyurethane X-4517
  - B) TE-90 is 22.7 wt-% lotek 8000; 22.7 wt-% lotek 7030; 45.0 wt-% lotek 7520; 9.6 wt-% White MB
  - C) 9020 is Surlyn 9020